Stereochemistry of the Conversion of γ -Substituted (E)-Vinylsulfones to the Corresponding Allylsulfones.

Determination of the Relative Degree of "Syn-Effect"

Katsuhiko INOMATA, * Takaki HIRATA, Hiroshi SUHARA, Hideki KINOSHITA, Hiroshi KOTAKE, and Hitoshi SENDA[†]

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920

†Department of Chemistry, College of Liberal Arts, Kanazawa University,

Kanazawa 920

The relative degree of "syn-effect" for the γ -substituted vinyl-sulfones in their conversion to the corresponding allylsulfones with 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) was determined by observing E/Z ratios of the resulting allylsulfones as follows: CH_3O- \geq AcO- \rangle CH_3- \rangle -CH_2- $\rangle\rangle$ t-Bu- and Ph-.

In connection with our interest in extending the synthetic utility of sulfones, we have so far investigated the syntheses and reactions of vinyl- and allylsulfones. 1) In the previous paper, 2) we reported the regio- and stereoselective syntheses of (E)- and (Z)-vinylsulfones and their conversion to the corresponding allylsulfones under mild basic conditions, and it was found that (E)-vinylsulfone preferentially affords (Z)-allylsulfone as a kinetically-controlled product, while (Z)-vinylsulfone gives (E)-allylsulfone exclusively. Such experimental results were rationalized by the new concept "conformational acidity" (a sort of kinetic acidity), 2) which essentially depends on a "syn-effect" 3) within the cases of the conversion discussed.

Several explanations for the "syn-effect" have been proposed, $^{3b)}$ namely (1) 6π -electron homoaromaticity, (2) σ -orbital interactions, (3) dipole-dipole

2010 Chemistry Letters, 1988

interactions, and (4) chelations. In order to make sure which explanation is most suitable in the case of the conversion of vinylsulfones to allylsulfones, we herein revealed the relative degree of the "syn-effect" for the γ -substituted vinylsulfones by observing the E/Z ratios of the allylsulfones (3a,b) produced by treating (E)-vinylsulfones (1) with DBU (Eq. 1).

The stereochemically pure (E)-vinylsulfones used in the present experiments were prepared according to Eqs. 2-6. All of the products ($\underline{6a-c}$, $\underline{8}$, $\underline{11}$, $\underline{15a,b}$, and $\underline{18a,b}$) were isolated by a preparative TLC (silica gel) as oily substances except $\underline{6c}$ (Mp 120 °C, from i-PrOH), and their structures and purity were confirmed by IR, UV, mass, and 400 MHz 1 H-NMR spectra.

The (E)-vinylsulfones thus prepared were treated with DBU in ${\rm CH_3CN}$ at ambient temperature (25 °C) to convert to the corresponding allylsulfones in the similar manner described in the previous paper. The results were summarized in Table 1. The structures of (E)- and (Z)- isomers of the resulting allylsulfones were confirmed by the measurement of NOE or determined based on the empirical rule 4) that

(a) p-To1SOONa•4H₂O (1.5 equiv.)/I₂ (1 equiv.) in AcOEt/H₂O, r.t., 2 - 3 h. (b) pyrrolidine (3 equiv.) in CH₃CN, r.t. (c) finely powdered Na₂CO₃ (2 equiv.)/mCPBA (1.4 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂, r.t. (d) TsCHLiPO(OEt)₂ prepared by the reaction of TsCH₂PO(OEt)₂ (1 equiv.) and n-BuLi (1.1 equiv.) at -78 °C for 30 min, -78 °C - r.t., 3 h. (e) PhCOPh (0.05 equiv.) in THF, hv (300 W Hg-lamp), r.t., 1 h. (f) LDA (2 equiv.)/ (PhSe)₂ (1.1 equiv.) in THF, -78 °C - r.t. (g) 30% H₂O₂ (5 equiv.) in THF/AcOEt, 0 °C - r.t., 1 - 1.5 h. (h) p-To1SOOH (1 equiv.) in aq. THF, r.t., 1 - 2 h. (i) NaBH₄ in EtOH, r.t. (j) Ag₂O (5 equiv.)/MeI (10 equiv.) in DMF, r.t., 2 d in the dark. (k) i) RMgBr (1.1 equiv.) in THF, r.t., 30 min under N₂. ii) AcCl (1.2 equiv.), r.t., 2 h. (1) Et₃N (2 equiv.) in CH₃CN, r.t., 2 h.

Chemistry Letters, 1988 2011

the protons of alkly group syn to the tosylmethyl group in an allylsulfone appear at higher field in NMR spectrum than that anti to the tosylmethyl group.

Previously, we observed that the proportion of (Z)- and (E)-allylsulfones (RCH=CHCH $_2$ Ts) obtained from (E)-1-tosyl-1-alkene (RCH $_2$ CH=CHTs) with DBU was almost constant around 75/25 at 10 °C and 70/30 (see Run 1) at 25 °C after 12 h regardless of the substitutent R (R = Et, n-Pr, n-Pen, n-Oc) except benzyl group. ^{2b)} This result shows that the steric difference among the linear alkyl substituents R

Table 1. Conversion of (E)-Vinylsulfones to the Corresponding Allylsulfones

(E)-Vinylsulfone
$$\frac{\text{DBU (2 equiv.)}}{\text{in CH}_3\text{CN, 25 °C}} \tag{Z)- and (E)-Allylsulfones}$$

Rui	n V	inylsulfones		Time/h	A	Allylsulfones (Ratio) ^{a)}			Conversion yield/%b) (Recovery/%)	
					Et					
1	<u>6a</u> ,	Et	Ts	12	$\langle \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \!$	Ts (66), E	t Ts	(34)	95	(5)
2	<u>6b</u> ,	t-Bu	Ts	12		t-B	u Ts	(100)	69	(31)
3	<u>6b</u> ,		**	48			"	(100)	98	(2)
4	<u>6c</u> ,	Ph Me	Ts	1	Me ▼ NO	E(5.1%)d) P	n Ts	(100)	100°	e)
5	<u>8</u> ,	Et	Ts	6	Et	(70), Ts Me		(30)	72	(28)
6	<u>8</u> ,		u	18	п	(70),	"	(30)	100	
7	<u>11</u> ,		✓∕ _{Ts}	24	, e)	(84), ((16) Ts	100	
8	<u>15a</u> ,	MeO Me	✓∕_ _{Ts}	12	MeO MeO	(79), Ts MeG	Me	(21)	91	(9)
9	<u>15a</u> ,		"	48	"	(80),		(20)	100	
10	<u>15b</u> ,	MeO Et	√ Ts	12	Et	(91), Ts Me	Ts.	(9)	48	(52)
11	<u>15b</u> ,		11	48	"	(91),	"	(9)	95	(5)
12	<u>18a</u> ,	Me Aco	✓∕ Ts	4	AcO Me	(71), Ts Ac) Me Ts	(29)	100	
13	<u>18a</u> ,			12	"	(70),	11	(30)	100	
14	<u>18b</u> ,	AcO Et	✓∕_ _{Ts}	4	AcO Et	(80), Ts Acc	Et	(20)	92	(8)
15	<u>18b</u> ,		11	12	11	(79),	11	(21)	100	

a) Determined by 400 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra. b) Since the allylsulfones were not separable in general from the unaffected vinylsulfones on TLC, the recovery was estimated by 400 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra. The detail of the time-course will be reported elsewhere. c) Mp 125 °C (from i-PrOH). d) Methyl group was irradiated. e) An olefinic proton was irradiated.

2012 Chemistry Letters, 1988

in RCH2CH=CHTs is not so important when we determine the relative degree of "syneffect" for γ-substituents. However, it should be noted that only (E)-allylsulfones were obtained (Runs 2-4 in Table 1) when R is t-butyl or phenyl group which precludes the possibility of syn-geometry by the steric congestion.

Runs 5 and 6 were performed to determine the difference of "syn-effect" between methyl and ethyl groups. The experimental result clearly showed that methyl group prefers syn-geometry more than ethyl group. The relative degree of the "syn-effect" for alkyl, aryl, alkoxy, and acetoxy groups was similarly examined as shown in Table 1, and revealed to be $CH_3O- \ge AcO- > CH_3- > -CH_2- >> t-$ Bu- and Ph-.

In order to confirm the structure of 2-ethyl-1-tosyl-1-butene (19, Et₂C=CHTs, Mp 62 °C, from cyclohexane), which has afforded exclusively (Z)-2-ethyl-1-tosyl-2-butene (20) by treating with DBU, 2b) X-ray crystallography was performed (Fig. 1).⁵⁾ It was found that C8, C9, C10, and C11 exist on a plane, and H4 and H5 are placed parallel to the axis of p-orbital on C8. This observation suggests as if "syn-effect" worked not only in the transition state of the conversion of 19 to 20, but also in the substrate (19) itself. This will be the first structural evidence to support 6π -electron homoaromaticity as an explanation for the "syn-effect", if the long distance between C8

C10 H10 нз Ф С11 ⊕ С8 о2

Fig. 1. ORTEP diagram of Et₂C=CHTs $(\underline{19})$.

and the protons H4 and H5 is reasonable (C8-H4, 2.86 Å; C8-H5, 2.84 Å; C8-C11, 2.89 \mathring{A}). Related works are further in progress in our laboratory.

This work is partly supported by the Research Grant-in-Aid from The Ministry of Education, Science and Culture. We thank Dr. Kiuchi for his valuable help for X-ray crystallography.

References

- 1) K. Inomata, H. Suhara, H. Kinoshita, and H. Kotake, Chem. Lett., 1988, 813 and references cited therein.
- 2) a) K. Inomata, S. Sasaoka, T. Kobayashi, Y. Tanaka, S. Igarashi, T. Ohtani, H. Kinoshita, and H. Kotake, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 60, 1767 (1987); b) T. Kobayashi, Y. Tanaka, T. Ohtani, H. Kinoshita, K. Inomata, and H. Kotake, Chem.
- Kobayashi, i. lahana, Lett., 1987, 1209.

 3) a) D. Cremer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 7199 (1979); b) K. N. Houk, R. W. Strozier, N. G. Rondan, R. R. Fraser, and N. Chuaqui-Offermanns, ibid., 102, 1000 and references cited therein; c) E. Block, R. E. Penn, A. A. Baz 1426 (1980) and references cited therein; c) E. Block, R. E. Penn, A. A. Bazzi, and D. Cremer, Tetrahedron Lett., 22, 29 (1981); d) E. Block, M. Aslam, V. Eswarakrishnan, K. Gebreyes, J. Hutchinson, R. Iyer, J.-A. Laffitte, and A. Wall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108, 4568 (1986).
 4) The rule was applicable to all allylsulfones prepared in our laboratory without
- exception.
- 5) Crystal data: $C_{13}H_{18}SO_2$, FW=238.3, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a=7.9603(9), b=10.001(1), c=16.662(2) Å, β =102.361(8) °, U=1295.8(3) Å³, Dc=1.22 g/cm³, F(000)=512, (Mo-K α)=2.32 cm⁻¹. Intensities were measured on a Rigaku AFC-5R diffractometer using Mo-K radiation within 20=55 ° and θ -20 scan method. Observed independent reflections of 1675 with I>30(I) were used in the structure analysis and refinement applying TEXSAN program system. The final $\ensuremath{\mathtt{R}}$ factor was 0.052.

(Received September 24, 1988)